UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,607	12/12/2003	Rajagopal Bakthavatchalam	60427(72021)	1961
21874 7590 08/06/2008 EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP P.O. BOX 55874 POSTON, MA 02205			EXAMINER	
			TRUONG, TAMTHOM NGO	
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/735,607	BAKTHAVATCHALAM ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	TAMTHOM N. TRUONG	1624			
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MARKET SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum states and the substitution of the second of the s	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reunication. Itutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONI will, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. Peply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition to closed in accordance with the practice.	2b) This action is non-final. for allowance except for formal matte	• •			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 41,46,48-67 and 69-72 is/ar 4a) Of the above claim(s) 88 and 92-5 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 41,46,48-67 and 69-72 is/ar 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrict ☐ Claim(s) Application Papers	94 is/are withdrawn from considerations re rejected.	on.			
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) objected to be stion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand the correction is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P' 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4-23-08.	TO-948) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application 			

Application/Control Number: 10/735,607

Art Unit: 1624

FINAL ACTION

Page 2

Applicant's amendment of 4-23-08 has been fully considered. The amended claim 49 has overcome the previous rejection of 112/2nd paragraph. However, the newly submitted IDS raises new ground(s) of rejection.

Claims 1-40, 42-45, 47, 68, 73-87, 89-91 and 95-105 are cancelled.

Claims 88 and 92-94 are withdrawn.

Claims 41, 46, 48-67 and 69-72 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, Second Paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claim 67 recites the structure of 'pyrido-pyridine' which is not recited in claim 65 or claim 41. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in claim 67. It is believed that a ring nitrogen has been inadvertently omitted.

Double Patenting

The **nonstatutory double patenting** rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re*

Application/Control Number: 10/735,607 Page 3

Art Unit: 1624

Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPO 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 41, 46, 48-66 and 69-72 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3, 9, 13-18, 30 and 31 of U.S. Application No. 10/539,031 (recently allowed). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant 'pyrido-pyrimidine' formula overlaps with the 'pyrido-pyrimdine' of the allowed application with the following substituents:

- i. X and V are N's;
- ii. Z is N;
- iii. W and Y are CR_1 ;
- iv. U is CR_2 ;
- v. Ar_1 and Ar_2 each represents a phenyl or a pyridyl group;
- vi. R_2 is $-R_c$ -M-A- R_y ;
- vii. R_c is an alkyl group; M is $N(R_z)$;
- viii. A is a bond;
- ix. R_v and R_z, each represents a hydrogen or an alkyl group.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,607 Page 4

Art Unit: 1624

Clearly, the instant 'pyrido-pyrimidine' formula is a subgenus of the allowed formula.

Thus, it would have been obvious to select some compounds of the instant formula in view of the allowed one.

Applicant's submission of an information disclosure statement (IDS) under 37 CFR 1.97(c) with the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) on 4-23-08 prompted the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 609.04(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TAMTHOM N. TRUONG whose telephone number is (571)272-0676. The examiner can normally be reached on M, T and Th (9:00-5:30).

Application/Control Number: 10/735,607 Page 5

Art Unit: 1624

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Tamthom N. Truong/

/James O. Wilson/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1624 Tamthom N. Truong Examiner Art Unit 1624

7-31-08